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1. Introduction

• Biodiversity conservation became a core activity for the forest management, both in developing countries and especially in developed countries.

• Evaluation and monitoring of the ecosystems’ biodiversity is an activity of high interest which must be undertaken for nature protection. For this, methodologies for evaluation and monitoring must be developed.

• In order to describe and monitor the biodiversity, an alternative approach is to use indexes or measurable surrogates. In general, the biodiversity indexes could be placed in one of two categories: the category based on the identification of key species and the category based on the identification of key structures.

• The most complex ecosystems, both functionally and from the point of view of the information which they offer are the ecosystems from the forests with high degree of naturalness, called by many authors “virgin forests”. These forests could be considered as a true guide for the sustainable management of the cultivated (managed) forests.
1.1. Characteristics of the natural forest ecosystems

• The virgin and quasi-virgin forest show the following structural characteristics:
  • a large volume of biomass;
  • the presence of tree exemplars having large dimensions, with very old ages, exemplars that can reach the maximum potential of development of the species;
  • important dead wood volumes, in diverse stages of decomposition;
  • they show a heterogeneous structure, with a mosaic distribution of the components.

• the structural complexity of the forest stand is deeply linked to the number of the structural attributes which are present and the relative abundance of each of these attributes.

• The main elements used for the description of the stand structure are the following:
  • the trees’ diameter,
  • the trees’ height,
  • the canopy closure index,
  • the stand biomass,
  • the species of trees,
  • the dead wood.
2. Location of the research

Location of the “Runcu – Groși” Nature Reserve
3. Material and method

- The research material consists of the quasi-virgin forest stands from Runcu – Groși;
- The modality of the inventory used in the “Runcu - Groși” Nature Reserve is based on the method of study issued by the European researchers for the forests included in nature reserves, within the COST Action E4;
- In the Reserve, a network of circular sample plots of 1000m² was installed. 41 sample plots were measured (total surface 4.1 ha);
- The recorded elements were the following:
  - the precise position of the trees (living and dead trees) within the circular sample plot – measured using the FieldMap system;
  - the tree species;
  - the tree diameter – by averaging the measurements of two perpendicular diameters (the minimum diameter was 6 cm);
  - the total height of the tree – by measurement with the FieldMap or VERTEX – for each tree;
  - the state of vitality (state of vegetation) of the tree;
  - the tree injuries;
  - other observations regarding individual characteristics of the trees;
- The FieldMap equipment is composed of a field computer TabletPC, a laser device which measures distances to a reflector and the vertical angles, and an electronic compass which measures the horizontal angles.
• The data collected in the field from the 41 sample plots were processed using Microsoft Excel and R (R Studio).

• For the state of vegetation of the trees, a scale with 3 categories was used (I – healthy tree, II – damaged tree, III – dead tree).

• In order to express the relationship between the biomass and the diversity through the structural diversity indexes, a series of biodiversity indexes were computed (Simpson index, Shannon-Weaver index, the equity, Margalef index, Menhinick index and Glisson coefficient).

• Regarding the dead wood: the measurement of the precise position, the length (minimum 1 m) – by measuring with the FieldMap equipment, the diameter at each end – measured by calliper (the diameter at the thickest end minimum 10 cm) for the fallen stems and branches, respectively the position, height and diameter (base diameter and upper diameter for stumps). Data were recorded for the stage of decomposition (5 classes of decomposition).

• The fallen dead wood volume was taken from the automatic calculation made by the FieldMap software. In order to calculate the standing volume (living and dead wood), a double-logarithmic regression equation was used.
4. Results
4.1. Biometrical and biodiversity indexes
4.1.1. Number of trees and biodiversity indexes

• The beech is the tree with the highest spatial constancy (1770 exemplars - 432 trees/ha), followed by sessile oak (262 exemplars - 64 trees/ha), hornbeam (199 exemplars - 49 trees/ha), wild cherry tree (25 exemplars), Turkey oak (22 exemplars), field maple (16 exemplars), sycamore (10 exemplars). The frequency of other species (Hungarian oak, lime tree, wild service tree, plain maple, wild pear tree and elm) by number of exemplars is pretty low (less than 10 exemplars/species).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity indexes</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Woody) Species richness</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (D)</td>
<td>0.5988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (1-D)</td>
<td>0.4011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson index (1/D)</td>
<td>1.6698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon-Weaver index</td>
<td>0.8747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margalef index</td>
<td>1.5479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menhinick index</td>
<td>0.2694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glisson coefficient</td>
<td>3.5642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.2. Trees’ diameters

• They were constituted distributions of number of trees by diameter categories for all trees inventoried and for the main species (beech, sessile oak).
• The mathematical modeling of these distributions was made using polynomial equations of 5th degree.
• It can be observed, in general, a decrease of the number of trees while the diameters increase. In the case of the total number of the trees inventoried, and also in the case of the beech trees, the distributions tends to a bimodal form. This fact is much more evident in the case of the sessile oak, where a dominant layer is very well expressed.

\[
y = -0.0015x^5 + 0.1212x^4 - 3.6487x^3 + 51.836x^2 - 346.36x + 900.78 \\
R^2 = 0.9903
\]

\[
y = -1E-04x^5 + 0.0102x^4 - 0.3475x^3 + 4.7696x^2 - 24.249x + 45.374 \\
R^2 = 0.7952
\]
4.1.3. The density of large trees per hectare

• The trees with large diameters (defined in this case as the trees with diameter over 60 cm) contribute to a good functionality of the forest ecosystems.

• From the inventory of the 41 sample plots a number of 180 large trees resulted (44 large trees/ha); 172 of them are living trees, 8 are standing dead trees.

• Beech -112 large trees (109 living and 3 dead), sessile oak 58 large trees (53 living, 5 dead), Turkey oak - 9 living large trees and lime - 1 living large tree.
4.1.4. Trees’ heights

- In order to describe the vertical structure of the stands, usually the distribution of number of trees by height classes is constructed.

- Such distributions were developed for all inventoried trees and for the main species (beech, sessile oak and hornbeam).

- By analyzing the graphs and the distributions, it can be observed that the number of trees decreases with the increasing of the trees’ heights – for all inventoried trees and for beech and hornbeam.

- In the case of all inventoried trees, a concentration of number of trees is observed for the height categories 8 – 10 m and 10 – 12 m.

- In the case of the sessile oak, the distribution of number of trees by diameter categories is bimodal with an asymmetry on right.
### 4.1.5. Statistical indicators of the trees’ diameters and heights

**Statistical indicators for diameters and heights of all inventoried trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D (cm)</strong></td>
<td>22,04</td>
<td>403,82</td>
<td>100,19</td>
<td>0,91</td>
<td>101,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H (m)</strong></td>
<td>17,52</td>
<td>10,01</td>
<td>0,57</td>
<td>50,27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Range intervals**

- **for diameters (cm) by species**
- **for heights (m) by species**
4.1.7. Slimness indexes
- In the case of the stands from the Runcu – Groși Nature Reserve, which have an unevenaged structure, the slimness indexes vary between very large limits. In some exceptional cases, some young trees show slimness indexes close to 300.

4.1.6. The relationship between heights and diameters
- Between diameters and heights they are very well established relationships. The graphic representation of these relationships offers new perspectives on the stand’s structure.

\[ y = 11.47 \ln(x) - 13.429 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.8475 \]

\[ y = 11.529 \ln(x) - 14.073 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.7541 \]
4.1.8. The standing volume

- The standing volume in the 41 sample plots is 2580,698 m³ (629 m³/ha). Beech has the largest standing volume - 1596,38 m³, followed by sessile oak - 811,885 m³, Turkey oak - 84,747 m³, hornbeam - 47,113 m³ and Hungarian oak - 13,828 m³. The standing volumes for the other species are less than 10 m³.
4.1.9. The state of vegetation (vitality)

- 81% from the standing trees are healthy, not having any damage, 14% suffer from different damages and 5% are standing dead trees.
- The most common damages are the wounds with a mechanical cause – 27%, 16% of the trees are bent, 15% have rot and 13% are broken.
4.2. Research regarding the daed wood

4.2.1. Standing dead wood

• The inventory showed a total number of 133 pieces of standing dead wood from the following species: beech, sessile oak, Hungarian oak, Turkey oak, elm, hornbeam, gaot willow, field maple and wild service tree.
• The most frequent exemplars of standing dead wood were beech (72 pieces), followed by sessile oak (54 pieces); in the case of the other species, only 1-2 pieces were found.
• The total amount of standing dead wood was 134,292 m³ (32,754 m³/ha).
• The largest number of beech exemplars belong to the diameter class of 6 - 10 cm (39 exemplars), followed by class 10 – 14 cm (12 exemplars).
• In the case of the sessile oak, the largest number of exemplars is in the diameters category of 42 – 46 cm (11 exemplars), followed by the category 46 – 50 cm (8 exemplars).

The distribution of the pieces of standing dead wood by sample plots and by diameters categories for beech (left) and sessile oak (right)
4.2.2. The fallen dead wood

- From the inventory of the 41 sample plots, a number of 1070 pieces of fallen dead wood was found, from the following species: hornbeam, wild cherry, beech, sessile oak, Turkey oak, Hungarian oak, wild service tree.

- The total amount of fallen dead wood was 383,96m³ (93,65 m³/ha).

- The highest frequency of fallen dead wood is the one of sessile oak (649 pieces), which generates the largest volume of fallen dead wood (253,37 m³). Beech participates with 378 pieces, having a volume of 121,26 m³.

The distribution of fallen dead wood by sample plot
4.2.3. Qualitative paramethers of dead wood

- By analyzing the collected data, it can be observed that there is a distribution of dead wood in all five decomposition classes, the largest volume being present in the IV class (203,01 m³), followed by the volume from the classes III (66,32 m³), V (50,35 m³), II (48,54 m³) and I (15,74 m³).
- In the classes of decomposition III, V and especially IV, the most important contribution to the total amount of dead wood is made by sessile oak.
- Beech participates with different quantities in all 5 decomposition classes (I – 10,76 m³, II – 34,24 m³, III – 25,96 m³, IV – 37,80 m³ and V – 12,50 m³).

![Graphs showing distribution of dead wood by decomposition classes and species/classes.](image-url)
5. Conclusion

The stands’ composition

• There are two main species: beech and sessile oak. Other species are also present: hornbeam, Turkey oak, wild cherry, lime tree, field maple, sycamore, maple, wild service tree, wild pear tree, elm.

Number of trees per hectare

• 2327 exemplars of standing trees (567 exemplars/ha): 76% beech, 11% sessile oak, 9% hornbeam, the rest of the species totalizing 4%.

Diversity indexes

• By analyzing the biodiversity indexes we found that they have values which confirm the existence of an evoluted biocenosis, with a good continuity in time.

The distribution of number of trees by diameter categories

• In the case of the total number of trees, this distribution is modeled by a polynomial equation with a bimodal form. We can observe a maximum number of trees in the diameter category of 4 – 8 cm, then the number of trees decreases as the diameter increases, a slight increase is observed for the diameter category of 40 – 60 cm.

• An important number of large trees were recorded (3 trees with diameter over 100 cm, 28 trees with diameter between 80 - 100 cm and 141 trees with diameter between 60 – 80 cm).
The distribution of number of trees by height categories

- The distribution of number of trees by height categories is bimodal with left asymmetry both for the total number of trees and for beech trees, the largest frequency being for the category of 8 – 12 m, and slightly increased frequencies being recorded for the category of 34 – 36 m, which indicates the presence of a dominant canopy layer. In the case of sessile oak, the distribution is bimodal with right asymmetry, the maximum being recorded for the category of 28 – 30 m.

Slimness indexes

- This coefficient shows large variations for the stands from the Runcu – Groși Nature Reserve. Most of the trees, regardless of species, show values of this coefficient below 100.

The relationship between diameters and heights

- The relationship between diameters and heights was modeled using logarithmic regression equations. By analyzing the graphs showing this relationship, both for the total number of trees and the main species, we can observe a variation of heights within the same diameter category, a fact that is also shown by the slimness indexes.

The standing volume per hectare

- The mean standing volume is 629 m³/ha. The average standing volume varies between 621 m³/ha in the sample plots dominated by sessile oak and 647 m³/ha in the sample plots where only beech is present. This volume is close to the volumes recorded in other reserves of broadleaved species.
State of vegetation

• 81% of the trees inventoried are healthy, 5% are standing dead trees and the rest of 14% show diverse form and/or structural damages. The most frequent damages are the ones produced by a mechanical cause, the rot and the bendt trees.

The total volume of dead wood

• The average volume of dead wood was 125 m$^3$/ha, the quantity varying from 143 m$^3$/ha in the plots where sessile oak is dominant to 64 m$^3$/ha in the sample plots with only beech.

The standing dead volume

• The average standing dead wood volume is 32.75 m$^3$/ha. The percentage of standing dead wood from the total amount of dead wood is 26%. This percentage varies from 9% in the sample plots with only beech to 30% in the sample plots with the volume dominated by beech (the percentage is 26% in the sample plots with the volume dominated by sessile oak).

The fallen dead wood

• The average volume of fallen dead wood is 93.65 m$^3$/ha. From the total amount of fallen dead wood, 66% is sessile oak.
Repartition of dead wood by decomposition classes

- It can be observed that dead wood is distributed in all 5 decomposition classes, the largest volume being found in the IV class, followed by the classes III, V, II, I. 65% of the fallen dead wood being sessile oak in the IV class. An explanation for this large quantity is the slow decomposition rate of sessile oak compared to beech.
Thank you for your attention!